

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/617,824	GALLIANI, GUIDO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Robert Sloan.

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2004
**Time:** 12:30pm(approx)
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**


1-9

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was notified of the allowable subject matter of claim 1, and the presence of several Section 112 ambiguities. Applicant agrees to amend the claims so as to remove the ambiguities, i.e., "of the type", and provide proper antecedent basis for the members of claim 4, the projecting end of claim 6, and the guide rail of claim 9. .